US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

JAN 17 1986

004887

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

DATE

SUBJECT: Preliminary Assessment Of The Agency Sponsored Data

Audit Of The COMMAND Rat Teratology Study (FMC

Study No. A83-1142)

TO:

Robert Taylor, PM #25

Registration Division (TS-767)

FROM:

Carolyn Gregorio, Toxicologist (WG Toxicology Branch/HED (TS-769)

THRU:

Clint Skinner, Ph.D.

Section Head.

and

Theodore M. Farber, Ph.D.

Branch Chief,

Toxicology Branch/HED

Chemical:

COMMAND (FMC-57020; Dimethazone)

Caswell No.:

463D

Petition No.:

4F3128

EPA Record No.: 162393

Petitioner:

FMC Corporation

Action Requested: Agency personnel, Dr. Dexter Goldman and Dr. Babasaheb Sonawane, conducted a data audit of the rat teratology study (FMC Study No. A83-1142; EPA Accession No. 072829). Their individual comments, with respect to their findings are attached. In addition FMC has responded to their comments (letter, Cuirle to Akerman, November 7, 1985) and is also attached.

D # 665

Background: The doses used in the study were 0, 100, 300, and 600~mg/kg/day from days 6 though 15 of gestation. Clinical signs of toxicity were transient decreased locomotion and abdominogenital staining which were observed in the 300 and 600~mg/kg/day treatment groups. Body weight weight gains were comparable for treatment and control groups throughout dosing until sacrifice. A 12% decreased mean food consumption was observed in the 600~mg/kg/day dams on days 6 through 13 of gestation when compared to controls.

Mean fetal weights were decreased 7% in the 600 mg/kg/day treatment group when compared to concurrent controls. A statistically significant increase in the "delayed ossification and/or absence" of 4 or more sternebrae was observed in the 300 and 600 mg/kg/day groups when compared to controls.

No teratagenocity was observed in any treatment group or in the control group.

Agency Audit Questions and Responses: The following observations were identified by the Agency's Auditors and responses were made by FMC

1.) "The adequacy of the doses selected."

FMC has stated that the doses for this study were based on the following points:

- a.) the highest dose (600 mg/kg/day) selected for the study "was almost 1/2 the female  $\rm LD_{50}$  (1,369 mg/kg). During the  $\rm LD_{50}$  study, the predominant signs of intoxication (other than death) at all doses that were observed were decreased locomotion and abdominogenital staining", and
- b.) the same clinical signs of toxicity were observed in the "FMC-conducted pilot" study at both 550 and 625 mg/kg/day doses.

The EPA auditors have stated that they "were not convinced that an effective maximum dose had been attained as there wasno evidence of maternal toxicity and limited evidence of fetotoxicity."

The Toxicology Branch recommends that although the highest dose tested is marginal with respect to maternal toxicity (clinical signs only), the data are sufficient to support the regulatory requirement for a rat teratology study. The body of toxicological data (rat chronic feeding study, rat 2-generation reproduction, rat acute oral) demonstrate that COMMAND has a low toxicity potential

666

and in the rat teratology study a low toxicity was observed, as marginal maternal toxicity was demonstrated as evidenced by the clinical signs of toxicity described previously and slight fetotoxicity was observed as evidenced by the lower fetal weights and delayed ossification, also previously described.

The Petitioner, however, should be cautioned that in future situations where low toxicity is seen in the available toxicology data base, there is a "limit test" described in the Agency Guidelines (November, 1982) which is designed to provide adequate data for teratology studies in which the test substance being studied has a low toxicity potential.

2.) "The supervision of the technicians by the consultant teratologists and, accordingly, reevaluation of the individual findings."

FMC has stated that: "we were confident in the abilities of the technical supervisor and the technician in charge to adequately conduct teratology studies with the assistance of an independent consultant. She [ Nancy Nadasky) was asked to verify any unusual or questionable findings, to aid in the interpretation and classification of findings, to be present the first day of scheduled Cesarean sections in order to verify any external findings noted and to review the final report."

The EPA auditors have recommended that "an independent professional teratologist may be designated to reexamine the fetal specimens (skeletal and viseral)" and cited several reasons for that conclusion:

- a.) that "the technicians assigned to the study had adequate training in teratology, but lacked supervision of a professional teratologist" and,
- b.) that "no evidence of examination of skeletal specimens by the consultant was available or claimed by the FMC staff. What has been classified as indicators of delayed skeletal ossifications, (e.g., skull bones, thoracic vertebrae, caudal vertebrae, metacarpals, etc.), could be precursors to major malformations" and,
- c.) although the training records indicate that the technicians had been examined by the MARTA (Mid-

667

Atlantic Reproduction and Teratology Association), "no evidence was available or claimed for MARTA's certification of the validity of the results" and,

d.) that the positive control study using aspirin "was conducted prior to the teratology study under consideration (FMC-A83-1142) as a practice run for the technicians."

The Toxicology Branch is in agreement with the EPA auditors and requests that an independent professional teratologist should reexamine the skeletal and viseral specimens.

A discussion, with regard to the reexamination of the skeletal and viseral specimens, was held (December 5, 1985) between Agency personnel (William Burnam, Clint Skinner, Carolyn Gregorio, Jim Yowell) and FMC personnel (Martin Fletcher, Director of Toxicology; Eunice Cuirle, Registration Specialist). FMC indicated that they had already contacted Dr. Marshal Johnson and requested that he conduct the company sponsored re-read. The Toxicology Branch agreed with the selection of Dr. Johnson and will re-review the study in conjunction with Dr. Marshal's final report.

3.) "The potential effects of exposure of the pregnant animals to low temperatures through failure of the heating system."

FMC has stated that: "Although there was a short period of low temperatures recorded in this study, no adverse effects due to this incident were observed at the time of, or following, the incident."

The Toxicology Branch is in agreement that the data reflect the FMC contention that no uncharacteristic changes were noted in any of the parameters examined in the study and since the entire population of animals in the study were exposed to the same environmetal change, there need not be any futher explanation.

4.) "The criteria used for classification of skeletal malformations."

FMC has made no response to the EPA auditors question with regard to this question. However, during the recommended reexamination of the fetuses, the independent professional teratologist should provide the criteria.

## CONCLUSIONS:

1.) The Toxicology Branch has determined that the dosing, although marginal, is adequate for the purposes of the

124

study.

- 2.) The Toxicology Branch has determined that there was no significant impact on the animals as a result of the temperature alteration experienced for 36 hours.
- 3.) The Toxicology Branch is in agreement with the Agency auditors and recommends that an independent professional teratologist reexamine all the fetal specimens (skeletal and visceral).
  - 4.) PMC has initiated a company sponsored reexamination of all the skeletal and viseral specimens by Dr. Marshal Johnson. The Toxicology Branch agreed with the selection of Dr. Johnson and will re-review the study in conjunction with Dr. Johnson's final report.